IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 8109 of 2004

Between:

1 B. Ram Das, S/o Jangaiah, Aged about 45 years, R/o H.No. 3-19,

Bandlaguda Jagir village, Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
2 B. Satyanarayana, S/o Jangaiah, Aged about 65 years, R/o H.No. 3-19,

Bandlaguda Jagir village, Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Asst. Director, Survey Settlement and Land Records,
Ranga Reddy District.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Rajendranagar Mandal,

Ranga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to dispose of the representation of the Petitioners dated 13-1-2004 by demarcating the land in Sy. No.13/E4, 13/E5 & 13/E6, admeasuring Ac. 12.32 gts. respectively held by the Petitioners situated at Bandlaguda Jagir Village, Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District in accordance with Law.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.MANOHAR REDDY NANDYALA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.8109 OF 2004

ORDER:

The petitioners made representation dt.13.1.2004 to first respondent requesting to demarcate the land in S.Nos.13/24, 13/25 and 13/26 admeasuring Ac.12.32 guntas situated at Bandlaguda Jagir Village, Rajendra Nagar Mandal, in vain. Therefore, he filed the Writ Petition seeking a Mandamus directing respondents to consider the representation.

When the Writ Petition is called today, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General) has placed before this Court Memo bearing No.28.2.2004 which shows that the petitioner's representation has been considered and their request was rejected as the land was not surveyed in the survey record. If petitioners are aggrieved, they are given liberty to challenge the said Memo before appropriate forum. The cause of action in the Writ Petition does not survive.

The Writ Petition with the above observations is disposed of. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

11.10.2004

bnr

To

1 The Asst. Director, Survey Settlement and Land Records,
Ranga Reddy District.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Rajendranagar Mandal,

Ranga Reddy District.

3 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court

Buildings, Hyderabad (O.U.T)

4 Two C.D. Copies.